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ABSTRACT

Hydrophobic/hydrophilic character of an amino acid, an important property in protein structure and protein-protein interactions, is one of the most studied properties of amino-acids. To date, many hydrophobicity scales have been reported, the differences between them being significant: Janin (1979) and Kyte and Doolittle (1982) classify cystein as the most hydrophobic while Wolfenden et al. (1981) or Rose et al. (1985) do not. The aim of the research was to perform the structural modelling of amino acids hydrophobicities in order to identify and characterize the relationships between the structure and the property, and to quantify these relationships on a series of twenty-four scales.

DATA SETS AND METHODOLOGY

The sample of twenty essential amino-acids (alanine, arginine, asparagine, aspartate, cysteine, glutamine, glutamate, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, proline, serine, threonine, tryptophan, tyrosine, and valine) has been investigated by using the Molecular Descriptors Family on Structure-Property Relationships approach [1]. The property of interest was represented by hydrophobic or hydrophilic character measured on twenty-four different scales [2] (see Table I). The amino acids structural information has been used in order to generate and calculate the Molecular Descriptors Family [3]. The best performing models in terms of goodness-of-fit were collected and analyzed [4]. The resulted models have been used in order to predict the hydrophobicity of a sample of eleven amino acids (seleno-L-cysteine, pyrrolysine, lanthionine, 2-aminoisobutyric acid, dehydroalanine, gamma-aminobutyric acid, ornithine, citrulline, homocysteine, hydroxyproline, and dopamine)

RESULTS

The interrelation between hydrophobicity of essential amino acids and their structural information was investigated. One monovariate model was obtained for each hydrophobicity scale. The model and its characteristics for each hydrophobicity scale are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Intercept</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scale 1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale 2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale 3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The summary of characters in molecular descriptors used by the above-presented models is presented in Table II. Based on the obtained models, the hydrophobicity of 11 non-standard amino acids was obtained and is presented in Table III.

CONCLUSION

The amino acids hydrophobicity is a property linear related with compounds structure, being on strong relationship with atomic charge through geometry interaction, this fact being shown by the large majority of the scales.

QUESTIONS THAT ARISE

First compelling question that arises from the investigation of amino acids hydrophobicity scales is as follows: “How reliable the predicted values are?” To answer to this question, future research will require. A study must be conducted in order to measure the hydrophobicity of a sample of non-standard amino acids once the measurements are done, the experimental values could be comparing with predicted values.

Second compelling question that arises from this investigation is: “Is it possible to rescale the hydrophobicity scales?” This task could be made by taking into consideration the scale which in most of the cases give the minimum values for hydrophobicity (Sereda et al., 1994), the scale that give the maximum values in most of the cases (Manavalan-Ponnuswamy, 1978), a middle scale, and considering the QSPR models obtained in the present research and the confidence intervals for intercepts and slopes. This will require future research.
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Amino acids, the building blocks of proteins, molecule that contains amine and carboxyl functional groups, play important roles in biology such as: synthesis of proteins, intermediates of metabolic pathways, neurotransmitters, antibiotics, etc. Even if there are considered the essential amino acids, the amino acids found in biological systems, abiotically synthesized, or those engineered by scientists, the quantitative investigations of the structure-property relationships of amino acids are important for biological researches.

Hydrophobic or hydrophilic character of an amino acid, the property important in protein structure and protein-protein interactions, is one of the most studied properties of amino-acids. To date, many hydrophobicity scales have been reported. Moreover, the differences between scales are significant: Janin (1979) and Kyte and Doolittle (1982) classify cistein as the most hydrophobic while Wolfenden et al. (1981) or Rose et al. (1985) do not. These differences could be explained by the fundamentally different methods used for constructing the scale.

The aim of the research was to perform a structural modelling analysis of amino acids hydrophobicity in order to identify and characterize if there exists a relationship between the structure and the property, and to quantify these relationship. A sample of twenty essential amino-acids (alanine, arginine, asparagine, aspartate, cysteine, glutamine, glutamate, glycine, histidine, isoleucine, leucine, lysine, methionine, phenylalanine, proline, serine, threonine, tryptophan, tyrosine, and valine) has been investigated by using the Molecular Descriptors Family on Structure-Property Relationships approach. The property of interest was represented by hydrophobic or hydrophilic character measured on twenty-four different scales. The amino acids structural information has been used in order to generate and calculate the Molecular Descriptors Family. The best performing models in terms of goodness-of-fit were collected and analyzed. The identified monovariate models were significant statistically (p < 0.0001). An internal validation approach was applied for analyzing the validity of the obtained models. The correlation coefficient of the measured and estimated hydrophobicity varied according with the method from 0.6649 (hydrophobicity reported by Welling et al. 1985) to 0.9504 (hydrophobicity reported by Monera et al., 1995). The resulted models have been used in order to predict the hydrophobicity of a sample of eleven amino acids (seleno-L-cysteine, pyrolysin, lanthionine, 2-aminoisobutyric acid, dehydroalanine, gamma-aminobutyric acid, ornithine, citrulline, homocysteine, hydroxyproline, and dopamine). The obtained results shown that the amino acids hydrophobicity is a property linear related with compounds structure, being on strong relationship with atomic charge through geometry interaction.
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