

Computer-Assisted Training and Evaluation System in Evidence-Based Medicine

Sorana-Daniela Bolboaca¹, Lorentz Jäntschi²

¹"Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, 13 Emil Isac St, 400023 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, sbolboaca@umfcluj.ro

²Technical University of Cluj-Napoca, 15 Constantin Daicoviciu St, 400020 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, lori@academicdirect.org

AIM: According with the national trends in improving health care services a computer-assisted system was developed as a training and evaluation environment in evidence-based medicine.

METHODS: Thirteen tutorials, six supplemental resources on evidence-based medicine topics, and an evaluation system were developed and integrated into a portable application by the use of Microsoft HTML Help program. Forty students were enrolled voluntary into the study. The students' knowledge and skills were assessed at the beginning and at the completion of self-directed training by the use of computer- and tutor-assisted multiple-choice questionnaires.

RESULTS: The computer assisted training and evaluation system proved to be effective in evidence-based medicine education, improving students' knowledge as well as theirs abilities in decisional process for specific medical situations.

CONCLUSIONS: Evidence-based training strategy improved students' knowledge, giving them the information needed to use of best available evidence in medical decisions. The strategy can be use in continuing medical education, offering to physicians a reliable and flexible training in EBM.

Keywords

computer-assisted training and evaluation environment, continuing medical education, evidence-based medicine (EBM)

Abbreviations: EBM (evidence-based medicine); 95%CI (95% confidence intervals); CPGs (clinical practice guidelines)

1. Introduction

The cardinal premise of evidence-based medicine refers the importance of using best available evidence in daily medical decision [1]. The concept of evidence-based medicine was introduced in modern medicine by Gordon H. Guyatt [2] and is recognized all over the world as an instrument useful in improving quality of health care [3] and in controlling the costs [4].

First step of best available evidence integration in daily decisions is represented by the access to evidence-based medicine knowledge and acquiring of specific skills. Courses on EBM topics are offered by universities as traditional [5] and computer-assisted [6] trainings and are created for undergraduate students [7], residents [8], and practitioners [9,10].

According with European Union trends in development of eHealth [11], with international and national trends in evidence-based medicine education for students [12,13] and for physicians [14,15], an evidence-based training and evaluation system was developed and evaluated.

iSHIMR 2006 Organisers

Organizing Committee

Syed Sibte Raza Abidi (Dalhousie University, CAN) Co-chair

Peter Bath (University of Sheffield, UK) Co-chair

Vlado Keselj (Dalhousie University, CAN) Co-chair

Social Events Organizing Committee

Grace Paterson (Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, CAN) Chair

Deirdre Harvey (Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, CAN)

Dikran Malatjalian (Faculty of Medicine, Dalhousie University, CAN)

Programme Committee

Syed Sibte Raza Abidi, Co-chair (Dalhousie University, CAN)

Peter Bath, Co-chair (University of Sheffield, UK)

Jose Arocha (University of Waterloo, CAN)

Panagiotis Bamidis (Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, GR)

Andrew Booth (University of Waterloo, CAN)

Helen Chen (Agfa Healthcare, CAN)

Moya Conrick (Griffith University, AU)

Rowena Cullen (Victoria University of Wellington, NZ)

Barry Eaglestone (University of Sheffield, UK)

Nigel Ford (University of Sheffield, UK)

Dimitris Fotiadis (University of Ioannina, GR)

Mariam Ginman (Abo Akademi University, FI)

Andrew Grant (University of Sherbrooke, CAN)

Socrates Katsikas (University of Sherbrooke, CAN)

Andre Kushniruk (University of Victoria, CAN)

Vlado Keselj (Dalhousie University, CAN)

Francis Lau (University of Victoria, CAN)

Elena Maceviciute (University College of Boras, SE)

Evangelos Milios (Dalhousie University, CAN)

Tony Norris (University of Auckland, NZ)

Paula Procter (University of Sheffield, UK)

Trefor Roscoe (University of Sheffield, UK)

Mark Sanderson (University of Sheffield, UK)

Michael Shepherd (Dalhousie University, CAN)

Daniel Silver (Acadia University, CAN)

Tom Wilson (University of Sheffield, UK)

Foreword

We wish you a very warm welcome to Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada for the eleventh international Symposium on Health Information Management Research (ISHIMR). This is the first time that iSHIMR has been held outside Europe and this development presents exciting challenges and opportunities for extending the success of the ISHIMR conference series across to North America. Having celebrated the tenth ISHIMR conference in Greece last year, it is an exciting time to look forward to this year's iSHIMR and to developments in health information management and health informatics research.

The Programme and Proceedings reflects the theme of this year's conference: "Advancing Health Information Management and Health Informatics: Issues, Strategies and Tools". Within this broad overall theme, fifty papers, posters and presentations will be made covering a wide range of topics within Health Information Management and Health Informatics. Prominent within this year's symposium are sessions on diverse themes such as healthcare information management systems, knowledge management in healthcare, clinical decision support systems, health information behaviours, e-health, and issues affecting implementation of systems.

As well as a diverse range of topics being presented this year, it is encouraging to see the wide range of countries represented at the conference. In addition to presentations from within the host country, Canada, there are presenters from Germany (Schwarte et al.), Greece (Pappa et al., Spyrou et al.), Iran (Piri et al.), Ireland (O'Sullivan et al.), Malaysia (Haslina et al.), New Zealand (Norris et al.), Romania (Jäntschi et al., Bolboaca et al.), Slovenia (Welzer et al.), Sweden (Åhlfeldt et al.), and the UK (Al-Busaidi, Beverley, Capel, Fitch, Ganas, Harland, Ivins, Millen, Naseer, Sissons et al.). This geographical diversity has been an increasingly important feature of the ISHIMR series, and it is gratifying to see the trend for international papers developed further this year. It is also very encouraging to see the extensive range of presentations from Canada, representing the strengths in health information management and health informatics research in the host country. In addition to an extensive range of presentations from our host, Dalhousie University, there are presentations from numerous academic institutions and professional organisations across Canada, including the Nova Scotia Office of Economic Development, the University of Victoria, the Public Health Agency of Canada, the University of Alberta, the University of Toronto, the Center for Evaluation of Medicines, the University of Sherbrooke, the University of Waterloo. We thank all those who are sharing their developments with us at this symposium.

ISHIMR is an excellent opportunity for researchers and information professionals at all stages of their careers to present their work. Once again, the standard of submissions to ISHIMR has been extremely high. The selection of papers was rigorous but difficult, and we would like to thank all those on the Programme Committee for their time and hard work in participating in the peer review process.

While the high quality of work presented enables us all to broaden our knowledge and understanding of health information management, the lively discussion and informed debate discussion in the formal and informal sessions that is an important hallmark of the ISHIMR conferences, gives us an opportunity to deepen our understanding, as well as develop new acquaintances and friendships. We trust that this year's conference will continue in this vein and hope that you enjoy the eleventh international Symposium on Health Information Management Research.

Peter Bath & Syed Sibte Raza Abidi (Programme Committee Co-Chairs)

Table of Contents

Title and preliminary pages	i
Foreword	v
Table of Contents	vi
1. Danny Silver, Mike Joyce, Ray MacNeil. Application of Data Mining to Health Economics Forecasting	1
2. Sunny Marche, Trudy Hebb. E-business and Health Associations	12
3. Francis Lau. Increasing the Rigor of Health Information System Studies Through Systematic Reviews?	34
4. Asma Al-Busaidi, Alex Gray, Nick Fiddian. Investigating and Utilising Patient Information to Focus Internet Searching for Cancer Patients	40
5. Sue Capel, Sue Childs, Linda Banwell, Susan Heaford. Access to information and support for health: some potential issues and solutions for an ageing population	56
6. Selena Davis, Syed Sibte Raza Abidi. Tailoring Cardiovascular Risk Management Educational Interventions: A Synergy of SCORE Risk Assessment and Behaviour Change Model	66
7. Juliet Harland, Peter Bath. Assessing the quality of websites providing information on multiple sclerosis; evaluating tools and comparing sites	79
8. Aisha Naseer, Lampros Stergioulas. HealthGrids and Resource Discovery	93
9. Janet Curran, Syed Sibte Raza Abidi. Evaluation of an online discussion forum for emergency practitioners	100
10. Ben Sissons, Alex Gray, Tony Bater, Tom Crosby, Dave Morrey, Matt Morgan. Can Routine Data Support Evidence-Based Decisions? An Investigation	110
11. Silvia Schwarte, Beatrice Moreno, Christina Niederstadt. European Comparison of Health Card Implementation Procedures Focusing on Patient Safety	120
12. Hadi Kharrazi, Peter Lichodzjewski. Efficient Evolution of Rule-Based Classifiers: An Application in Medical Diagnosis	122
13. Patricia Lingley-Pottie, Teresa Janz, Carolyn Watters, Patrick J. McGrath. Delivering evidence-based, cognitive behavioural interventions to families from a distance using a web-based interface: a pilot study.	124
14. Kim Blake. Bridging the gap: Third year medical students learning to present paediatric clinical cases through distance learning	126
15. Tatjana Welzer, Peter Kokol, Ana Habjaniè. Teaching IT in the postgraduate health service and nursing program	128
16. Anthony Ganas, Michael Graveney. The human resources impact of information management and technology on healthcare specialist information staff in three EU member states	132
17. Catherine Beverley, Peter Bath, Rosemary Barber. The health and social care information needs of people with a visual impairment	138
18. George Botulynsky, Jean-François Luc. Improving the Management of Health Information and Health Informatics through Effective Intellectual Property Risk Management	140
19. Stephen Kisely, Leslie-Anne Campbell. Agreement between Administrative Data and Self-Reported Mental Health Service Use as measured by the Pathways to Care Interview – a pilot study from Nova Scotia	141
20. Shyamala Nagendran, John Van Aerde, Liz MacDonald, Kathy Borgstrom, Gail Cameron. Applied e-Health project in a Community Hospital	143
21. Sarah Wanderer, Eileen Gillespie. Implementing an Electronic Charting and Database System as Experienced by the Izaak Walton Killam Regional Poison Centre	144
22. Samina Abidi, Krista Elvidge, Hadi Kharrazi, Micheal Shepherd, Carolyn Watters, Jack	146

Duffy. Reformulation of Consumer Health Queries with Standard Medical Vocabulary	
23. Lubna Daraz, Chun Wei Choo. Towards A General Health Information Behaviour Model	153
24. Elaine Toms, Celeste Latter. How Consumers Search for Health Information	161
25. Rekha Gaikwad, Ingrid Sketris, Michael Shepherd, Jack Duffy. Evaluation of Accuracy of Drug Interaction Alerts triggered by Two Electronic Medical Record Systems in Primary Health Care	172
26. Dympna O'Sullivan, Eoin McLoughlin, Michela Bertolotto, David Wilson. Mobile Case-Based Decision Support for Intelligent Patient Knowledge Management	186
27. Mohd Haslina, Sy. Mohamed Sh. Mastura. Electronic Medical Record Evaluation Using Task Analysis Technique.	201
28. Di Millen. Establishing a National Faculty of Health Informatics for the NHS in England: First Steps and Practical Experiences	211
29. Sorana Daniela Bolboaca, Lorentz Jäntschi. Educational Strategies on Evidence-Based Medicine	220
30. Marc Richard, Ingrid Sketris, Jack Duffy. Pharmacists' Preference in the Delivery of Continuing Pharmacy Education	227
31. Karim Keshavjee, John Bosomworth, John Copen, James Lai, Beste Kucukyazici , Rizwana Lilani. Best Practices in EMR Implementation: A Systematic Review	233
32. Karen Day, Tony Norris. Supporting information technology across health boards in New Zealand: the role of learning in adapting to complex change	247
33. Tineke Fitch, Carl Adams. Mobile Telephone in Community Care: Impact on Organization and Delivery	258
34. Dimitra Pappa, Lampros Stergioulas, Panagiotis Telonis. The management of medical knowledge: Coding and beyond	264
35. Katrina Hurley, Paul Rossolatos. InfoButtons: The Application of RAD-Link in Emergency Medicine	272
36. Tony Norris, Karolyn Kerr. The Potential for Telehealth in New Zealand	280
37. Haewon Chung, Vlado Keselj, Ray Sweidan. A Semi-Structured e-Forms Approach to Deployment of Electronic Clinical Guidelines: A Proposal	289
38. Stergiani Spyrou, Panagiotis Bamidis, Nicos Maglaveras, Dimitros Vartzopoulos. From Hospital Information Systems to Regional Health Networks: The user satisfaction dimension	296
39. Rose-Mharie Åhlfeldt, Eva Söderström. The Need for a Coordinator for Cross-Border Healthcare Planning - An experience report	305
40. Marc-Andre Leger. On the application of Nash's Equilibrium to Healthcare Information Risk Management	313
41. Michael McAllister, Amir Feridooni, R. Lee Kirby, Brian Paul, Donald A. MacLeod, Cher Smith. Wheelchair Skills Program: Enhancing Knowledge Translation through the Internet	324
42. Lorentz Jäntschi, Sorana Bolboaca. Organizing guidelines models and clinical practice guidelines	328
43. Wendy Ivins, Omnia Allam, Hessah Al-Salamah, Alex Gray. Supporting Co-ordination of Integrated Care Pathways with Workflow Technology	339
44. Majed Al-Shawa. Using Viewpoints to Model and Manage Stakeholders Knowledge in Enterprise Domains such as Healthcare	352
45. Shyamala Nagendran, Richard Spooner, David Moores, Paul Humphries, Shirley Schipper , Marilynne Hebert. The Design and Implementation of a Digital Library of Procedures and Examination Skills for Family Medicine.	369
46. Grace I. Paterson, Steven D. Soroka. A Formative Evaluation of the Clinical Pragmatic Attributes of Components Chosen for a Boundary Infostructure	375
47. Karolyn Kerr, Tony Norris. Improving Data Quality in Health Care	386
48. Zakieh Piri, Leila Ranandeh Kalankesh. Knowledge mapping: a way to effective knowledge management in teaching hospitals	397

2. Programs characteristics and evaluation methods

The main objective of the study was to create, develop and assess a computer-assisted education and evaluation environment useful in evidence-based medicine training.

2.1 Evidence-based medicine curriculum

The curricular components included a set of *training and supplemental resources* as are presented in table 1 and 2. The title of the module and remarks regarding the order of their completeness are in table 1. The structure of the module is standardized and includes the following chapters: Objectives, Prerequisites, training materials structured in sections, clinical-based problems, and references.

Table 1 Tutorials in evidence-based medicine.

Module	Remark
Introduction in evidence-based medicine	It is important to respect the accomplishing order for complete understanding of the evidence based medicine concepts
Asking answerable clinical questions	
Evidence. Hierarchy of evidence	
Finding the evidence	
Apply the evidence in daily practice	
Medical decisions based on evidence*	Can be completed in any order with one exception (*)
Assessment of an evidence regarding ■ a therapy intervention; ■ a diagnostic or screening test; ■ a prognosis; ■ an etiology study; ■ an economic analysis; ■ a decisional process*	*Assessment of Decisional Trees Studies approaching must be made after the accomplishing of Medical Decisions Based on Evidence
Assessment of evidence-based CPGs	Must be approached at the end of the training

The supplemental resources (see table 2) incorporated into the system were included in order to help give the users the access to a glossary of EBM terms (structured alphabetically from A to Z) and to other resources in native language necessary in acquiring the practical skills needed for practicing evidence-based medicine.

Table 2 Characteristics of supplemental resources.

Resource name	Specification	References
CATROM	An online software for creation critical appraised topics	[16-18]
GUIDELINES (ONLINE)	A software tool for assisted creation of guidelines models and CPGs	[19]
Binomial Distribution	Online software which provide confidence interval boundaries and experimental true errors for proportions (useful for sample sizes from 2 to 506)	[20-29]
Diagnostic and Treatment Guidelines	20 diagnostic and treatment guidelines published by the Romanian College of Physicians	[30]
Prove Based Medicine	17 materials of proved based medicine published by Stetoscop Journal	[31]
Glossary	120 explained terms used in evidence-based medicine	

2.3 Method of system implementation

Training materials, supplemental resources, and knowledge evaluation system were integrated by use of HTML Help Workshop (version 4, Microsoft ®, free to use) into a Windows help application. The HTML Help Workshop (HHW) generates CHM files (from Compiled Hypertext Markup language) using HTML (Hyper Text Markup Language) and other dependency files. The CHM files are supported and displayed nowadays on both Linux and Windows - like platforms.

2.4 Assessment of the computer-assisted training and evaluation system

The students at Faculty of Medicine, "Iuliu Hatieganu" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Cluj-Napoca, Romania were the target population of the study. Applying an aleatory method a series of fourth-year students was chosen to participate to the study. The aim and the objectives of the study were presented, and the students enroll voluntary to the study. We chose to let the students to decide if he/she enroll in evidence-based medicine education because participating at the study supposed to allocate time for a topic that is not included into the obligatory curriculum. Forty fourth-year students decide to participate. The students received a CD-ROM with computer-assisted training and evaluation system for self-directed study in evidence-based medicine. The enrolled students completed a tutor-assisted test which evaluated their evidence-based medicine knowledge at beginning and completion of the three-month self-directed training. Data were analyzed with Statistica 5.5 software, by the used of Wilcoxon test.

3. Programs evaluation results

3.1 Computer-assisted training and evaluation application

The EBMRom application was created as self-learning support in evidence-based medicine in Romanian language and is available at: http://vl.academicdirect.org/medical_informatics/EBM_Rom/
The methodological specifications of the self-evaluation and a tutor-assisted and the differences between them are in table 3.

3.2 Self-directed learning in evidence-based medicine: results

Eighty-five percent of students performed the tutor-assisted at the beginning as well as the completion of the study. The number of questions at which students gave an answer at the final test (range from 32 to 42) was significant greater comparing with the number of questions with answer at the initial test (range from 3 to 15) ($n_{\text{valid}} = 35$, $Z = 4.46$, $p < 0.001$).

The results obtain by applying the Wilcoxon Test sustain that there are significant differences between the correct answers obtained to the initial and final test ($n_{\text{valid}} = 35$, $Z = 5.16$, $p < 0.001$). The same results are obtained for the wrong answers ($n_{\text{valid}} = 35$, $Z = 5.16$, $p < 0.001$).

The number of correct answers is significantly lower comparing with the number of wrong answers obtained for the initial test ($n_{\text{valid}} = 40$, $Z = 5.51$, $p < 0.001$), while the number of correct answers is significantly higher comparing with the number of wrong answers obtained for the final test ($n_{\text{valid}} = 35$, $Z = 5.16$, $p < 0.001$).

Table 3 The methodology of evaluation tests.

Item	Specification for self-evaluation	Specification for tutor-assisted evaluation
Type of evaluation	Offline and/or online Self-evaluation	Online Tutor-assisted
Number of questions	Five At least 2 problem-based questions	Forty-five At least 12 problem-based questions
Questions	Every question has five possible answers (lettered from A to E) and at least one correct answer	
Choosing the question	For each module there were defined five-questions which are the same at a new test	The questions are chosen randomly from a database
Avoiding of a question	Not allowed	Allowed
Test results	Displaying for each question the rightness of answer (defines as correct or wrong) Displaying the score obtained by the user	Displaying the final mark, the text of the avoided questions, and of the wrong answered questions
The score methodology	Based on the <i>all or nothing</i> rule: every question with a correct answer has one point Example: if the correct answer items for a question are B and D and the user chose A, or any other combinations, the question does not have any point	
The range of the score	from 5(A) to 0(F)	from 45 to 0
The mark	N.A.	The final mark is computed based on the following formula: Final mark = $1 + n_{ca} \cdot 0.2$ where the n_{ca} is the number of the questions at which the user gave a correct answer
The range of the mark	N.A.	from 10 to 1
Condition for pass the final exam	N.A.	Every student must prove that he/she acquired at least 80% of presented information in order to pass the exam

N.A. = not applied

4. Discussions

It is accepted that the half-life of scientific information in nature sciences is about five years [32]. This means that half of knowledge acquired in six years of faculty will not be up-to-date when the students graduate. Starting with this point of view, the aim of medical educators is to train the students in the spirit of use of best available evidence in daily medical decisions.

By creation and assessment of EBMR application, the main objective of the study was reached. In accordance with international trends in evaluation of EBM courses [33], the EBMR application allows the user to evaluate their knowledge at the end of each module.

Because the questions for self-evaluation are not randomly chosen, the user can learn the correct answers and can obtain the maximum scores without learning the associated material. In order to perform an objective final evaluation and to avoid cheating (example: performing the test by another person, collaboration between students, consulting the training materials, etc.) it was imposed that the final evaluation be computer- and tutor-assisted. The possibility of learning the answers for the final evaluation was discarded by

imposing of the aleatory choice of forty-five out of one hundred and eighty questions. A simple mathematic calculus, supposing that the order of the questions is not relevant, give a total number of distinct tests equal with *one-hundred and eighty choose forty-five* which is equal with $6.24 \cdot 10^{42}$.

Improving the online evaluation system is possible through imposing the time for the final test (for example at one hour) and the time between two evaluations for a specific user identified by his/her personal data, computer IP, or any other identification information. This improvement opens the path to an online physician's evaluation system.

The self-directed strategy in EBM training proved to be useful in deepens understanding of EBM concepts and principles. The characteristics of EBMROM application which sustain its usefulness in EBM continuing medical education are:

- Provide a full environment of training and evaluation (teaching materials, supplemental resources, self-evaluation tests, glossary) in EBM;
- Friendly, easy-to-use application: there are required minimal knowledge of computers using (opening an application, Internet browsing, basic searching skills);
- Accessibility and portability: any windows based computer can run the application and most of the all other computer platforms if it is use an emulators;
- Multi-tasking: allow simultaneously the use of application with other applications;
- Multi-user: if the application is use online, it can be use simultaneously by more than one user;
- Updating: any changes, which must be made in the body of materials or system structure, are done easy and in real time by recompiling the application file;

The EBMROM application offers at least four advantages on EBM continuing medical education. First, a self-directed study on EBM allows to the user to choose the proper time and place of continuing medical education, without interrupting of daily activity and moving into another city for a strict imposed period of time, being less expensive comparing with traditional continuing medical education courses. Second, the application allows adapting the EBM training to personal needs and speed of new knowledge accumulation. Third, development of a self-evaluation environment allows to the user to identify the gaps in EBM knowledge and to familiarize with the final evaluation methodology. Fourth, computer-assisted final evaluation allows an objective evaluation of users' EBM knowledge and skills, providing the final mark and final scores in real time.

The future plan of EBMROM application development is represent by obtaining the accreditation from the Romanian College of Physicians, by including the courses in the national distance-learning continuing medical education strategy.

5. Conclusion

The self-directed EBM training strategy can be a real solution on EBM continuing medical education, offering the possibility of archiving and evaluating the knowledge without the interruption of practical activity, by choosing the proper time, place and method of learning. Studies which to evaluate the self-directed EBM training as well as long-term changes of physicians daily behaviors by integrating the best available evidence in medical decisions are required.

6. Acknowledgement

The research was partly supported through project ET46/2006 by UEFISCSU Romania.

References

- 1 Sackett D L, Rosenberg W M, Gray J A, Haynes R B, Richardson W S. Evidence-based medicine: what it is and what it isn't. *Br Med J* 1996; 312(7023): 71-2.
- 2 Guyatt G H. Evidence-based medicine. *ACP J Club* 1991; 114: A-16.
- 3 McCrindle B W. Optimizing outcomes through clinical research and evidence-based clinical decision-making. *Prog Pediatr Cardiol* 2005; 20(1): 53-64.
- 4 Wise C G, Bahl V, Mitchell R, West B T, Carli T. Population-based medical and disease management: an evaluation of cost and quality. *Dis Manag* 2006; 9(1): 45-55.
- 5 Srinivasan M, Weiner M, Breitfeld P P, Brahmi F, Dickerson K L, Weiner G. Early introduction of an evidence-based medicine course to preclinical medical students. *J Gen Intern Med* 2002; 17(1): 58-65.
- 6 Schilling K, Wiecha J, Polineni D, Khalil S. An interactive web-based curriculum on evidence-based medicine: design and effectiveness. *Fam Med* 2006; 38(2): 126-32.
- 7 Cayley Jr W E. Evidence-based medicine for medical students: introducing EBM in a primary care rotation. *WMJ* 2005; 104(3): 34-7.
- 8 Dinkevich E, Markinson A, Ahsan S, Lawrence B. Effect of a brief intervention on evidence-based medicine skills of pediatric residents. *BMC Med Educ* 2006; 10(6) :1. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6920/6/1> 2006 February.
- 9 O'Neill M A, Brownson R C. Teaching evidence-based public health to public health practitioners. *Ann Epidemiol* 2005; 15(7): 540-4.
- 10 Jmelnitzky A C. Evidence-based Medicine and continuing education in gastroenterology and hepatology. *Acta Gastroenterol Latinoam* 2000; 30(5): 515-7.
- 11 Gartlehner G. Evidence-based medicine breaking the borders--a working model for the European Union to facilitate evidence-based health care. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 2004; 154(5-6): 127-32.
- 12 Thornhill Iv JT, Tong L. From yoda to sackett: the future of psychiatry medical student education. *Acad Psychiatry* 2006; 30(1): 23-8.
- 13 Genuis S K, Genuis S J. Exploring the continuum: Medical information to effective clinical practice. Paper I: The translation of knowledge into clinical practice. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2006; 12(1): 49-62.
- 14 Greenhalgh T, Toon P, Russel J, Wong G, Plumb L, Macfarlane F. Transferability of principles of evidence-based medicine to improve educational quality: Systematic review and case study of an online course in primary health care. *Br Med J* 2003; 326: 142-5.
- 15 Moschetti I, Moja L, Dri P, Manfrini R, Satolli R, Deligant C, Liberati A. Continuing education in Italy, evidence based medicine's possible role: Something is moving. *Clinical Evidence and ECCE. Ricerca e Pratica* 2005; 21(5): 188-98.
- 16 Bolboaca S, Jäntschi L, Drugan T, Achimas Cadariu A. Creating Therapy Studies Critical Appraised Topics. *CATRom Original Software for Romanian Physicians. Applied Medical Informatics* 2004; 15: 26-33.
- 17 Bolboaca S, Jäntschi L, Achimas Cadariu A. Creating Diagnostic Critical Appraised Topics. *CATRom Original Software for Romanian Physicians. Applied Medical Informatics* 2004; 14: 27-34.
- 18 Bolboaca S, Jäntschi L, Achimas Cadariu A. Creating Etiology/Prognostic Critical Appraised Topics. *CATRom Original Software for Romanian Physicians. Applied Medical Informatics* 2003; 13: 11-6.
- 19 Bolboaca S D, Achimas Cadariu A, Jäntschi L. Evidence-Based Guidelines Assisted Creation through Interactive Online Environment. *Applied Medical Informatics* 2006; 17: 3-11.
- 20 Drugan T, Bolboaca S, Jäntschi L, Achimas Cadariu A. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 1. Sampling and Medical Key Parameters Calculation. *Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies* 2003; 3: 47-74.
- 21 Bolboaca S, Achimas Cadariu A. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 2. Proportion-like Medical Parameters. *Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies* 2003; 3: 75-110.
- 22 Bolboaca S, Achimas Cadariu A. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 3. Post and Pre Test Odds. *Leonardo Journal of Sciences* 2003; 3: 24-46.
- 23 Bolboaca S, Achimas Cadariu A. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 4. Post Test Probability. *Leonardo Journal of Sciences* 2003; 3: 47-70.
- 24 Bolboaca S, Achimas Cadariu A. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 5. Odds Ratio. *Leonardo Journal of Sciences* 2004; 4: 26-43.

- 25 Bolboaca S, Achimas Cadariu A. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 6. Excess Risk. Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies 2004; 4: 1-20.
- 26 Bolboaca S, Achimas Cadariu A. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 7. Absolute Risk Reduction and ARR-like Expressions. Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies 2004; 5: 1-25.
- 27 Bolboaca S, Achimas Cadariu A. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 8. Number Needed to Treat/Harm. Leonardo Journal of Sciences 2004; 5: 1-17.
- 28 Bolboaca S, Jäntschi L. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Interval Estimation for Positive and Negative Likelihood Ratio Medical Key Parameters , American Informatics Medical Association Annual Symposium on Biomedical and Health Informatics from Foundations to Applications to Policy, Washington D.C., U.S.A., 2005, October 22-26, Conference Proceedings, p. 66-70, 2005.
- 29 Bolboaca S. Binomial Distribution Sample Confidence Intervals Estimation 10. Relative Risk Reduction and RRR-like Expressions. Leonardo Electronic Journal of Practices and Technologies 2005; 6: 60-75.
- 30 CMR.ro [Internet home page]. Romanian Colledge of Physicians; ©2004 <http://www.cmr.ro> 2005 August.
- 31 Stetoscop.ro; ©2002-2006 <http://www.stetoscop.ro> 2006 March.
- 32 Rohlin M, Shinoda K, Takano Y. Educational strategies in oral and maxillofacial radiology. Oral Radiol 2004; 20: 2-8.
- 33 Johnston JM, Leung GM, Fielding R, Tin KY, Ho LM. The development and validation of a knowledge, attitude and behaviour questionnaire to assess undergraduate evidence-based practice teaching and learning. Med Educ 200; 37(11): 992-1000.