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Abstract
After communist regime downfall (in 1989, December) the Romania Educational System was continuous changed. In 1995 was adopted Law of Education, and each university develops respecting the law, and personal management strategies taking into account the national educational necessities. Starting from the data regarding the number of students from higher education from 1992 to 2005 the aim of the present research is to develop and analyze a mathematical model useful in prediction of students’ number for a given year (in our case is 2008). The higher education was analyzed after geographical clusterization of the forty-two Romanian counties. Eight clusters included into analysis: Central, Capital, West, South, South West, South East, North West, and North East (called developing regions). In order to analyze the trends of Romanian higher education a mathematical model has been developed. The model integrates the following parameters: (1) the mean annual variation ratio (as absolute and relative values), (2) the increasing mean annual ratio (as absolute and relative values), (3) the number of students estimation for 1989 (as absolute value), (4) the numbers of students prediction for 2008, (5) the correlation coefficient, (6) the linear trend, (7) the number of students estimation for 1989 and (8) for 2008 obtained by the model. The mathematical model has been integrated into an online program and is available at:
The above-described parameters were computed for each item, cluster, and globally. The obtained results regarding the evolutions and predictions are analyzed and discussed. The plan of future development is highlighted.
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Starting from the main premise of learning to share the knowledge and formations was necessary to decentralized the education in order to create the competition arena which to lead later to an efficient educational system.

In terms of responsible institutions for public education management at national level, together with Education, Research and Youth Ministry (in his actual naming from April 3, 2007), are a series of Governmental agencies, such as National Council of Funding of Higher Education, National University Research Council, and National Council for Learning Reform.

During the period from 1992 to 2005, very a small number of private institutions of higher education exist in Romania (just few). Also in terms of size are smaller than public higher education institutions.

Statistical analysis of data during this period was made using of time series (Jäntschi and Diudea, 2003), regression analysis (Jäntschi and Bolboaca, 2007), and correlation (Bolboaca and Jäntschi, 2006). An online application, part now from a long series of such type of applications started with this one (Jäntschi, 2002) was made in order to analyzed the data.

The present study are focused on public higher education institutions, in terms of evolution of number of institutions, number of faculties, number of teaching staff, number of students, and number of graduated and aim is to identify the common facts related to developing of these.

Methodology

Material

The present study uses the reported data on higher education institutions by National Institute of Statistics during 1992-2004 years. In addition, it uses the splitting into developing regions of Romania’s territory made by same institute. More, another series of data (at this time representing converted units, so-called student-equivalent are available from CNFIS, a Romanian agency responsible with funding of higher education institution during the last five years), recorded during 1995 to 2006 were used for comparisons. Flowing figure depict developing regions:

![Figure 1. Romania’s territory by developing regions](image)

The evolution of four numerical characteristics (institutions, faculties, teaching staff, students, graduated) during 1992-2004 period were summarized and presented in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17315</td>
<td>18123</td>
<td>19130</td>
<td>20452</td>
<td>22611</td>
<td>23477</td>
<td>24427</td>
<td>26013</td>
<td>26977</td>
<td>27959</td>
<td>28674</td>
<td>29619</td>
<td>30137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>215226</td>
<td>235669</td>
<td>250087</td>
<td>255162</td>
<td>336141</td>
<td>354488</td>
<td>360590</td>
<td>407720</td>
<td>452621</td>
<td>533152</td>
<td>582221</td>
<td>596297</td>
<td>620785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25927</td>
<td>29901</td>
<td>33366</td>
<td>34240</td>
<td>47837</td>
<td>57360</td>
<td>80991</td>
<td>67799</td>
<td>63622</td>
<td>67940</td>
<td>76230</td>
<td>93467</td>
<td>103402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 1 = Institutions, 2 = Faculties, 3 = Teaching staff, 4 = Students, 5 = Graduated students |

Mathematical Model

Let \( a_1, \ldots, a_n \) be a time period, where \( a_i \) is a calendaristic or university year, and \( n \) is number of years from the considered period \( (A) \). Let \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \) be a time series, where \( b_i \) is the value of the considered characteristic \( (B) \) corresponding to the time moment \( a_i \). Let \( M(\cdot) \) be the average operator. Thus, \( M(B) \) is the average of the \( B \) characteristic:

\[
M(B) = \frac{\sum b_i}{n} \quad (1)
\]

Analogue,
There were calculated the following parameters:

- **Averaged Annual Variation (in absolute units), \( AAVA \), and Averaged Annual Variation (in relative units), \( AAVER \):**
  \[
  AAVA(B) = \Sigma |b_{i+1}-b_i|/(n-1), \quad AAVER(B) = AAVA(B)/100/M(B)
  \]  

- **Averaged Annual Growing (in absolute units), \( AAGA \), and Averaged Annual Growing (in relative units), \( AAGR \):**
  \[
  AAGA(B) = (b_n-b_1)/(n-1), \quad AAGR(B) = AAGA(B)/100/M(B)
  \]

Using (4), backward \( BF \) and forward \( FF \) forecasting at one given year can be done using formulas:

\[
BF(B,A,year) = \begin{cases} 
  b_i \left( 1 + AAGR(B) \right)^{year-a_i}, & \text{if } AAGR(B) \neq -1 \\
  b_i \left( 1 - AAGR(B) \right)^{a_i/year}, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
FF(B,A,year) = \begin{cases} 
  b_i \left( 1 + AAGR(B) \right)^{year-a_i}, & \text{if } AAGR(B) \neq -1 \\
  b_i \left( 1 - AAGR(B) \right)^{a_i/year}, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Linear regression and correlation between \( B \) and \( A \) (time correlation analysis) is also a good tool for analysis. Following formulas were used:

\[
r(A,B) = \frac{\text{cov}(A,B)}{\text{disp}(A) \cdot \text{disp}(B)}, \quad \text{cov}(A,B) = M(AB)-M(A)\cdot M(B), \quad \text{disp}(A) = \left( M(A^2) - M(A)^2 \right)^{1/2}
\]

where \( r \) = the correlation coefficient; \( \text{cov}(A,B) \) = the covariance of \( A \) with \( B \), and \( \text{disp}(A) \) = the dispersion of \( A \).

Trend, expressed in both absolute and relative units:

\[
\text{Trend}(B,A) = \text{cov}(A,B)/\text{disp}^2(A), \quad TR(B,A) = 100 \cdot \text{Trend}(B,A)/M(B)
\]

The estimation based on trend (relation 7) it served for estimation at 1989 and 2008 years with formula:

\[
\text{Estimation}(B,A,year) = b_i + \text{Trend}(B,A) \cdot (year-a_i), \quad \text{true for any } i \text{ from } 1 \text{ to } n
\]

The estimation can be done for both backward \( BE \) and forward \( FE \).

**Findings**

The numbers of students from higher educations institutions by developing regions are presented in figures 1-8.
Figure 2. Distribution by developing regions of student’s number during 1996-2005 period

Table 1 contained the numerical parameters described by equations (1-9) for chosen characteristics (number of institutions, faculties, teaching staff, students, and graduated).
### Table 1. Results from student’s number by growing regions in Romania considering years from 1996 to 2005

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - North-Est</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>25547</td>
<td>86413</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>4616</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3716</td>
<td>91428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Est</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7872</td>
<td>40482</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2643</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Muntenia</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>3370</td>
<td>46820</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>3490</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - South-West</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9645</td>
<td>46220</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2694</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>47619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - West</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>19178</td>
<td>76282</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>3907</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td>77385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - North-West</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>28756</td>
<td>112809</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>6963</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>128033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Centre</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11216</td>
<td>78328</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>74559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - Bucharest</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>62066</td>
<td>182853</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>8435</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22312</td>
<td>182570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AAVR** = Averaged Annual Variation in relative units (%)

**AAGR** = Averaged Annual Growing in relative units (%)

**BF(1989)** = Backward Forecasting at 1989 year (from AAGR) in absolute units

**FF(2008)** = Forward Forecasting at 2008 year (from AAGR) in absolute units

**r** = Correlation coefficient between selected characteristic and years (time correlation coefficient)

**Trend** = Linear regression coefficient of selected characteristic by years, in absolute units

**TR** = Relative measure of Trend (Trend divided by average), in relative units (%)

**BE(1989)** = Backward Estimation at 1989 year (from Trend), in absolute units

**FE(2008)** = Forward Estimation at 2008 year (from Trend), in absolute units

The parameters described by equations (1-9) for chosen characteristics (number of institutions, faculties, teaching staff, students, and graduated) are presented in table 2 and 3.

### Table 2. Descriptive data for 1992-2004 period related to higher education institutes in Romania

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17315</td>
<td>18123</td>
<td>19130</td>
<td>20452</td>
<td>22611</td>
<td>23477</td>
<td>24427</td>
<td>26013</td>
<td>26977</td>
<td>27959</td>
<td>28674</td>
<td>29619</td>
<td>30137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>215226</td>
<td>235669</td>
<td>250087</td>
<td>255162</td>
<td>336141</td>
<td>354488</td>
<td>360590</td>
<td>407720</td>
<td>452621</td>
<td>533152</td>
<td>582221</td>
<td>596297</td>
<td>620785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25927</td>
<td>29901</td>
<td>33366</td>
<td>34240</td>
<td>47837</td>
<td>57360</td>
<td>6117</td>
<td>37396</td>
<td>931251</td>
<td>172143</td>
<td>15153</td>
<td>105422</td>
<td>103402</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 = Institutions, 2 = Faculties, 3 = Teaching staff, 4= Students, 5= Graduated

### Table 3. Parameters described by equations (1-9) values for number of institutions, faculties, teaching staff, students, and graduated considering years from 1992 to 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
<th>Faculties</th>
<th>Teaching staff</th>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Graduated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAVR</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAGR</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BF(1989)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>15883</td>
<td>182997</td>
<td>21145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FF(2008)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>37396</td>
<td>931251</td>
<td>172143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trend</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>36732</td>
<td>6029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE(1989)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>15153</td>
<td>106158</td>
<td>11930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE(2008)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1052</td>
<td>36697</td>
<td>804062</td>
<td>126477</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AAVR** = Averaged Annual Variation in relative units (%)

**AAGR** = Averaged Annual Growing in relative units (%)

**BF(1989)** = Backward Forecasting at 1989 year (from AAGR) in absolute units

**FF(2008)** = Forward Forecasting at 2008 year (from AAGR) in absolute units

**r** = Correlation coefficient between selected characteristic and years (time correlation coefficient)

**Trend** = Linear regression coefficient of selected characteristic by years, in absolute units

**TR** = Relative measure of Trend (Trend divided by average), in relative units (%)

**BE(1989)** = Backward Estimation at 1989 year (from Trend), in absolute units

**FE(2008)** = Forward Estimation at 2008 year (from Trend), in absolute units
Discussion

Are surprisingly the estimates of 0 to 1989 year when Trend (Eq.8) are used for prediction in table 1. This can have more than one possible explanation. A possible explanation is based on moving of preferences of learning from less expensive trainings to much expensive ones, which has as effect increasing (more than linear) of “student-equivalent” rate. In terms of effects on our analysis, trend become higher, putting the prediction at 1989 year at level of 0. Another explanation could be, because data are obtained from reports from universities, which are related to funds, so by increasing of the student-equivalent value of a university the funds also increases.

The lowest growing rate (see table 1) is recorded on Capital City (Bucharest, 5.8%). Thus, it can be says that the students are reoriented to another University centres. The biggest rate is near Bucharest (Muntenia, 12.6%) which comes to prove the previous sentence, being more facile to learn near to your home city than far from this. Note that, other regions do not benefit so much from this movement. The next region in terms of growing rate, Centre (10.4%), is also near to Bucharest.

Analyzing the data from table 3, are remarkable the growing and variation rate of number of graduated, which are over two times more than growing rate of teaching staff number. Despite its lowest growing rate, the number of teaching staff had biggest time correlation - is the most stable parameter - which is in one way the expected result, considering that during this period, the legislation related to employing in Romania favourites the employed, so the movement is not spectacular at all.

Conclusion

Both growing rate and linear trend shown that public higher education institutions from Romania were in a process of large expansion in the last decade.
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